The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:15-cv-00102 RSM 10 ) Petitioner, 11 UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF RELATED CASES v. 12 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, et al. 13 Respondents. 14 15 The United States, through undersigned counsel, hereby responds to Microsoft's notice of 16 related cases (Dkt #28), pertaining to: 17 The notice Microsoft filed simply recites that the newly filed *Microsoft Corporation v*. 18 Internal Revenue Service, 2:15-cv-00369 (W.D. Wash.) – which Microsoft acknowledges is a 19 FOIA case – relates to this case because both cases allegedly "concern the IRS's examination of 20 Microsoft's 2004 through 2006 taxable years," and "an unduly burdensome duplication of labor 21 and expenses appears likely if these cases are conducted before different judges." 22 United States' Response to U.S. Department of Justice 23 Notice of Related Cases Ben Franklin Station P.O. Box 683 Washington, D.C. 20044-0683

## Case 2:15-cv-00102-RSM Document 30 Filed 03/12/15 Page 2 of 4

Contrary to Microsoft's assertion, however, the cases are not "related" either legally or factually within the meaning of LR 3(f), and there is thus no need for the new FOIA case to be assigned to the same judge as the two pending summons enforcement cases.

The two summons actions pending before this Court arise out of the IRS's efforts to obtain summoned information – documents and testimony, from Microsoft and from third parties – in order to complete its examination of Microsoft's 2004 through 2006 taxable years.

Summons actions, as the Court is well aware, are governed by the Internal Revenue Code (title 26), and more specifically by §§ 7602 *et seq.*, which specifically address the IRS's summons power.

By contrast, a FOIA case is governed by the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and deals with a person's request to obtain information from a government agency. Microsoft is attempting to obtain documents from the IRS. But the documents it is seeking are – from the face of its pleadings – documents generated or maintained by the IRS, not documents pertaining to Microsoft's tax liability.

United States' Response to Notice of Related Cases

**U.S. Department of Justice** Ben Franklin Station P.O. Box 683 Washington, D.C. 20044-0683

## Case 2:15-cv-00102-RSM Document 30 Filed 03/12/15 Page 3 of 4

1	Dated this 12th day of March, 2015.	
2		CAROLINE D. CIRAOLO
2		Acting Assistant Attorney General
3		<u>/s Noreene Stehlik</u>
4		<u>/s Jeremy Hendon</u>
5		<u>/s Amy Matchison</u> NOREENE STEHLIK
5		Senior Litigation Counsel, Tax Division
6		JEREMY HENDON
7		AMY MATCHISON Trial Attorneys, Tax Division
,		U.S. Department of Justice
8		P.O. Box 683, Ben Franklin Station
		Washington, DC 20044-0683
9		Email: Noreene.C.Stehlik@usdoj.gov
		Jeremy.Hendon@usdoj.gov
10		Amy.T.Matchison@usdoj.gov
11		Western.TaxCivil@usdoj.gov Telephone: (202) 514-6489
11		Telephone: (202) 514-6489 (202) 353-2466
12		(202) 307-6422
		( - ,
13		ANNETTE L. HAYES
		Acting United States Attorney
14		Western District of Washington
15		Attorneys for the United States of America
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
	United States' Response to	U.S. Department of Justice
23	Notice of Related Cases	Ben Franklin Station P.O. Box 683 Washington, D.C. 20044-0683

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that service of the foregoing has been made this 12th day of 2 March, 2015, via the Court's ECF system to all parties and electronically to the following: 3 4 JAMES M. O'BRIEN james.m.o'brien@bakermckenzie.com 5 ROBERT B. MITCHELL 6 robert.mitchell@klgates.com dawnelle.patterson@klgates.com 7 DANIEL A. ROSEN 8 daniel.rosen@bakermckenzie.com 9 /s/ Amy Matchison 10 **AMY MATCHISON** Trial Attorney, Tax Division 11 U.S. Department of Justice 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 United States' Response to **U.S. Department of Justice** 23 Notice of Related Cases Ben Franklin Station P.O. Box 683 Washington, D.C. 20044-0683